

10/25/00
U.S. PTO

10-26-00

A
Case Docket No. FUJY 17,914
Filed by Express Mail
(Receipt No. EL522395105US)
on October 25, 2000
pursuant to 37 CFR 1.10
by Lydia Gonzalez

U.S. PTO
10/25/00
10921696674

THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington D.C. 20231
Box Patent Applications

SIR:

Transmitted herewith for filing is: a new application
 a c-i-p application of S.N. _____ filed _____

Inventor(s): Yasushi SASAGAWA

For **LABEL SWITCHING SYSTEM**

Enclosed are:

25 sheets of drawings.(Figs. 1-25)
 Specification, including claims and abstract (42 pages)
 Declaration
 An assignment of the Invention to **FUJITSU LIMITED**
 A certified copy of Japanese Application No(s). 2000-006160
 An associate power of attorney
 A verified statement to establish small entity status under 37 CFR 1.9 and 37 CFR 1.27
 Post card
 Recording fee (as indicated below)
 Information Disclosure Statement, PTO-1449, copies of _____ references
 Other _____
 Other _____

	Col. 1	Col. 2
FOR:	NO. FILED	NO. EXTRA
BASIC FEE		
TOTAL CLAIMS	22-20 =	1
INDEP CLAIMS	12-3 =	9
[] MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIMS PRESENTED		

*If the difference in Col. 1 is less than zero, enter "0" in Col. 2

SMALL ENTITY	
RATE	FEES
x 9 =	\$ 355
X 40 =	\$
x 135 =	\$
TOTAL	\$

OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY	
RATE	FEES
	\$ 710
x 18 =	\$ 18
x 80 =	\$ 720
x 270 =	\$
TOTAL	\$ 1448

Please charge our Deposit Account No. 08-1634 the amount of _____ to cover the filing fee and recording fee (if any)

A check in the amount of \$1488.00 to cover the filing fee and the recording fee (if any) is enclosed.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any fee associated with this communication or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 08-1634. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Helfgott & Karas, P.C.
60th Floor
Empire State Building
New York, New York 10118-6098
(212)643-5000

Date: 10/25/00

Any fee due with this paper, not fully covered by an enclosed check, may be charged on Deposit Acct No. 08-1634

Respectfully Submitted,

Aaron B. Karas
 Aaron B. Karas, Reg. No. 18,923
 Samson Helfgott, Reg. No. 23,072
 Leonard Cooper, Reg. No. 27, 625
 Linda S. Chan, Reg. No. 42,400
 Harris A. Wolin, Reg. No. 39,432
 Brian S. Myers, Reg. No. 46,947

LABEL SWITCHING SYSTEM

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to a label switching system, and more particularly to an explicit routing method and a packet router in this label switching system.

The label switching is a key technology for actualizing an Intranet/Internet backbone oriented high-speed transfer, traffic load sharing and band control on a full scale. The label switching also functions to match a routing process at an IP level (Layer 3) with a switching process on a lower layer (Layer 2) where ATM, a frame relay, Ethernet etc are conducted, and perform packet forwarding (transmission, exchange and transfer) on Layer 2 according to [labels] attached to IP packets.

Standardization of this label switching are now being promoted as MPLS (Multi Protocol Label Switching) by MPLS-WG of IETF (Internet Engineering Task Force). Further, ITU (International Telecommunication Union) also examines the use of MPLS in IP over ATM (IP/ATM) in the public network.

Generally, the label switching has such a characteristic that the data can be transferred at a high speed, a scalability can be obtained, and a traffic can be easily controlled. An ATM-LSR (ATM Label Switching Router) for actualizing the label switching in the ATM network uses VPI (Virtual Path Identifier) for identifying VP (Virtual Path) and VCI (Virtual Channel Identifier) for identifying VC (Virtual Channel) as labels, and IP packets are mapped (interworking) to ATM layer (Layer 2).

Taking into consideration a situation wherein a great number of ATM networks have already been developed in the field and a multiplicity of ATM systems have been put on the market, for developing the label switching in a real network, it is of 5 much importance to contrive how the existing ATM-Switch architecture is mapped to the label switching. It is also desired that the label switching exhibiting a high compatibility with the existing ATM-Switch architecture, be actualized.

On the other hand, traffic engineering (load sharing) 10 conceived as one of most important applications of MPLS aims at utilizing an efficient and reliable network and at the same time optimizing an activity ratio of network resources. What is requested for attaining this is a (Constraint Base Routing) function of specifying a variety of routes without being limited 15 to the IP routing with respect to MPLS.

Moreover, it is desired for actualizing optimization of the traffic engineering that the traffic engineering be capable of grasping an activity state of the network resources with a variety of hyper structures. Accordingly, the variety of 20 granularity are likewise required of the (CR) function of specifying the many routes provided by MPLS.

It is herein considered to design an architecture for actualizing an LSR (Label Switching Router) as an edge device of MPLS on ATM-Switch base. What is herein focused on is a method 25 of mounting an IP/MPLS forwarding function.

FIGS. 1, 2 and 3 each show an example of architecture of the LSR as the MPLS edge device on ATM-Switch base, wherein the

label switching architecture is mapped intact.

The example of architecture shown in FIG. 1 includes a method of incorporating the IP/MPLS forwarding function into a CPU. According to this method, however, the CPU analyzes the 5 packets, searches a routing table and edits a packet header, and therefore high-speed forwarding can not be actualized.

What is given in the example of architecture shown in FIG. 2 is such a method that the IP/MPLS forwarding function (hardware) is mounted at a pre-stage of the CPU, the CPU controls the IP/MPLS 10 forwarding function on the basis of data about results of executing a label distribution protocol and a routing protocol, the IP/MPLS forwarding function resultantly short-cuts the CPU, and the high-speed forwarding is thus actualized.

According to this method, when actualized based on the 15 ATM switch, a common unit needs a new piece of hardware, and there is a problem in terms of a mounting space and a mounting cost as well.

What is given in the example of architecture shown in FIG. 3 is such a method that the IP/MPLS forwarding function (hardware) 20 is mounted in each adapter (an external interface: a package containing one or a plurality of ports, which corresponds to a port group), the CPU controls the IP/MPLS forwarding function on the basis of the data about the results of executing the label distribution protocol and the routing protocol, the IP/MPLS 25 forwarding function resultantly short-cuts the CPU, and the high-speed forwarding is thus actualized.

This method needs an addition of functions to the adapter

(the problems in terms of mounting is smaller than a change in the common unit), and, as in the example of architecture shown in FIG. 2, there exists the problem in terms of the mounting space and the mounting cost. Further, both of the adapter at 5 an ingress for the packets and the adapter at an egress for the packets terminate the IP/MPLS, which is redundant control.

An example of architecture shown in FIG. 4 may be considered as an eclectic design of architecture between FIG. 2 and FIG. 3. This is a method, wherein the IP/MPLS forwarding function 10 (hardware) is mounted in an egress for the packets, i.e., in the adapter provided toward a non-MPLS network, the CPU controls the IP/MPLS forwarding function on the basis of the data about the results of executing the label distribution protocol and the routing protocol, the IP/MPLS forwarding function 15 resultantly short-cuts the CPU, and the high-speed forwarding is thus actualized.

This method needs an addition of functions to the adapter (the problems in terms of mounting is smaller than the change in the common unit), and, as in the examples of architecture 20 shown in FIGS. 2 and 3, there exists the problem in terms of the mounting space and the mounting cost. On the system, however, IP/MPLS is terminated by only the adapter at the egress for the packets, and hence the redundant control is not required, which 25 is more advantageous than the example of architecture shown in FIG. 3.

Herein, the label distribution protocol of MPLS will be described. The label distribution protocol is roughly

categorized into the following two types as routing methods.

(1) Hop by hop routing: A route is determined (routing) hop by hop based on a routing table.

(2) Constraint Base Routing: This is explicit routing by an ingress node on the basis of routing data and other various items of data, and is also routing in which a variety of system resources such as QoS etc are specified.

Further, the following three protocols are the typical label distribution protocols.

(1) LDP (Label Distribution Protocol: Note that this herein indicates a specific protocol.)

This is a protocol for best-effort communications by hop by hop routing.

(2) CR-LDP (Constraint-Based LSP Setup using LDP)

This is the label distribution protocol capable of performing the explicit routing and QoS communications etc, and is an extension version of LDP.

(3) RSVP Extensions (Extensions to RSVP for LSP Tunnels)

This is the label distribution protocol capable of performing the explicit routing and QoS communications etc, and is an extension version of RSVP.

It is to be noted that OSPF (Open Shortest Path First) is a routing protocol in FIGS. 1 through 4, and is one type of interior gateway protocol (IGP). Further, a forwarder, which terminates MPLS and IP, actualizes MPLS forwarding for the MPLS network and actualizes IP forwarding for the IP network (non-MPLS network).

FIG. 5 shows an example of sequence of the hop by hop routing. As shown in FIG. 5, according to the hop by hop routing, an ingress LSR (an Edge-LSR in an MPLS domain) that detects a trigger for setting an LSP (Label Switched Path) sets, in Label Request message, an FEC (Forwarding Equivalence Class: This indicates an aggregation of packets passing though the LSP, and, at the present, an address prefix having a length of 0 ~ 32 bits and a full host address are defined as FEC elements, and the FEC is an aggregation of the FEC elements.) corresponding to the LSP to be set. The ingress LSR then determines NEXT HOP by searching the routing table with the FEC serving as key data, and transmits Label Request message to this NEXT HOP.

A relay node (an ATM-LSR in an MPLS domain) receiving Label Request message determines NEXT HOP by searching the routing table with the FEC in the received message serving as key data, and transmits Label Request message to this NEXT HOP.

An egress LSR (an Edge-LSR in an MPLS domain) receiving Label Request message recognizes that the egress LSR is the egress by searching the routing table with FEC in the received message serving as key data, then determines a label used for the LSP, subsequently sets the LSP and also sets the label in Label Mapping message, and transmits it to an upstream LSR.

The relay LSR receiving Label Mapping message sets an LSP to a downstream LSR, determines a label with respect to the upstream LSR which is used for this LSP, then sets the LSP and also sets this label in Label Mapping message, and transmits it to the upstream LSR.

The ingress LSR receiving Label Mapping message sets an LSP to the downstream LSR. The setting of the LSP from the ingress LSR down to the egress LSR is thus completed.

FIG. 6 shows an example of sequence of explicit routing based on CR-LDP. The followings are larger differences of this example from FIG. 5. The ingress LSR detecting the trigger for setting the LSP (Label Switched Path) determines a plurality of LSRs through which the LSP set by a local policy and so forth based on topology data etc passes, then explicitly sets the LSRs in Label Request message (the FEC set at this time is generally "CRLSP" additionally defined by CR-LSP, and it is indicated that the FEC corresponding to this LSP dynamically changes). The ingress LSR similarly determines NEXT HOP by the local policy etc, transmits Label Request message to this NEXT HOP. The relay LSR receiving Label Request message determines NEXT HOP on the basis of the explicit route in the received message, and the egress LSR receiving Label Request message recognizes from the explicit route in the received message that the same LSR itself is an egress.

FIG. 7 shows an example of sequence of explicit routing based on RSVP Extensions. The followings are large differences of this example from FIG. 6. LDP explicitly sets a session on TCP, while RSVP Extensions tacitly set the session. Label Request message and Label Mapping message are replaced respectively with Path message and Reserve message.

In the LSR adopting the system architecture shown in FIG. 4, if considering the LSP based on the label distribution protocol,

the MPLS' architecture can not be mapped well to the system architecture shown in FIG. 4 for reasons which follow.

(1) According to a concept of MPLS that is being now developed in IETF, the egress node terminates MPLS, then 5 determines an output port in accordance with IP routing (forwarding), and forwards the packets.

(2) According to Explicit Routing (based on both of CR-LDP and RSVP Extensions) of MPLS that is nor being developed in IETF, a node or an aggregation of nodes through which the packets pass, 10 is or are specified.

(3) In the system architecture shown in FIG. 4, an IP forwarding engine is mounted in the adapter, and hence it is required that not the egress node but the adapter of the egress node terminates IP/MPLS.

15 To be specific, the label distribution protocols of both of hop by hop routing and Explicit Routing are incapable of indicating an intra-system specified adapter or port, and it is therefore unfeasible to set the LSP in which the specified adapter of the egress node is a terminal.

20 In the case of hop by hop routing based on LDP, FEC is specified in Label Request message, and, according to a present version of LDP, only one FEC element is allowed as FEC in the message. Therefore, the egress node is capable of specifying the egress node from this FEC.

25 Further, as described above, a minimum unit of a hyper structure for specifying the explicit route of present MPLS, is a node. It might be herein considered that the hyper structure

capable of specifying a given port and a given group of the node in addition to the specifying on the node basis.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

5 Accordingly, it is a primary object of the present invention to provide an explicit routing method and a packet router that are capable of mapping a label switching architecture in an ATM network which actualizes MPLS (Label Switching) to an ATM-Switch base system architecture, and attaining a 10 granularity of function (Constraint Base Routing) of specifying a variety of routes provided by MPLS.

15 Namely, the present invention aims at providing a design of how the MPLS architecture is mapped to the packet router (LSR) taking an architecture shown in FIG. 4, and of how this packet router is mapped to the MPLS architecture in terms of a mounting space and a mounting cost. To describe it in greater details, the present invention aims at designing how an egress adapter terminates MPLS in the packet router if this packet router is an egress of MPLS.

20 It is another object of the present invention to support a granularity capable of specifying a given port and a given port group in addition to the specifying on a node basis when specifying an explicit route of MPLS.

25 To accomplish the above objects, a first explicit routing method in a label switching system according to the present invention, comprises a step of logically dividing a label switching router (LSR) into a plurality of LSRs each having a

label switching function, and a step of specifying, when setting a label switched path on the basis of an explicit route specified, a port or a port group of an egress node.

A second explicit routing method in a label switching system according to the present invention comprises a step of flooding, as topology data, a set of an intra-system port and an IP address allocated to the port, or a set of a port group among a plurality of groups into which the ports are divided, and an IP address allocated to the port group, and a step of managing the topology data flooded from other system and, when setting a label switched path on the basis of an explicit route specified, explicitly specifying a port or a port group of an egress node, and a port or a port group of a relay node on the basis of the received topology data.

A third explicit routing method in a label switching system according to the present invention comprises a step of flooding, as topology data, a set of an intra-system port and an IP address allocated to the port, or a set of a port group among a plurality of groups into which the ports are divided, and an IP address allocated to the port group.

A fourth explicit routing method in a label switching system according to the present invention comprises a step of flooding, as topology data, a set of an intra-system port and an IP address allocated to the port, or a set of a port group among a plurality of groups into which the ports are divided, and an IP address allocated to the port group by use of Opaque LSA of OSPF protocol.

A fifth explicit routing method in a label switching system according to the present invention comprises a step of explicitly specifying, when setting a label switched path on the basis of an explicit route specified, a port or a port group of an egress 5 node, and a port or a port group of a relay node.

A sixth explicit routing method in a label switching system according to the present invention may further comprise a step of specifying a port or a port group of the egress node by setting an IP address corresponding to the port or the port group of 10 the egress node in final ER-HOP-TLV in ER-TLVs in Label Request Message of CR-LDP, and a step of specifying a port or a port group of the relay node by setting an IP address corresponding to the port or the port group of the relay node in intermediate ER-HOP-TLV in ER-TLVs in Label Request Message of the CR-LDP.

15 A seventh explicit routing method in a label switching system according to the present invention may further comprise a step of specifying the port or the port group of the egress node and the port or the port group of the relay node by adding an intra-system port number or an intra-system port group number 20 in ER-HOP-TLV in ER-TLVs in Label Request Message of CR-LDP.

An eighth explicit routing method in a label switching system according to the present invention may further comprise a step of explicating a port through which data should pass per system and specifying a port or a port group of the egress node 25 by use of resource class TLV with ER-TLV in Label Request Message of CR-LDP being used as ER-HOP-TLV.

A ninth explicit routing method in a label switching system

according to the present invention may further comprise a step of specifying a port or a port group of the egress node by setting an IP address corresponding to the port or the port group of the egress node in final Subject-object in Explicit Route Objects 5 in a path message of RSVP protocol extended for setting a label switched path in MPLS protocol, and a step of specifying a port or port group of the relay node by setting an IP address corresponding to the port or the port group of the relay node in intermediate Subject-object in Explicit Route Objects in the 10 path message of the RSVP protocol.

A tenth explicit routing method in a label switching system according to the present invention may further comprise a step of specifying a port or a port group of the egress node and a port or a port group of the relay node by adding an intra-system 15 port number or an intra-system port group number in Subject-object in Explicit Route Objects in the path message of RSVP protocol extended for setting the label switched path in MPLS protocol.

An eleventh explicit routing method in a label switching system according to the present invention comprises a step of specifying an MPLS explicit route by adding, to an IP-over- MPLS (IP/MPLS) forwarding function of one specified 20 egress-and-ingress port group, a communication function with the IP/MPLS forwarding function of an intra-system other port group, and a forwarding function to the intra-system other port 25 group.

A first packet router in a label switching system according

to the present invention comprises a logical router configuring module for logically dividing a label switching router (LSR) into a plurality of LSRs each having a label switching function, and a module for specifying, when setting a label switched path 5 on the basis of an explicit route specified, a port or a port group of an egress node.

A second packet router in a label switching system according to the present invention comprises a module for flooding, as topology data, a set of an intra-system port and 10 an IP address allocated to the port, or a set of a port group among a plurality of groups into which the ports are divided, and an IP address allocated to the port group, and a module for managing the topology data flooded from other system and, when setting a label switched path on the basis of an explicit route 15 specified, explicitly specifying a port or a port group of an egress node, and a port or a port group of a relay node on the basis of the received topology data.

A third packet router in a label switching system according to the present invention comprises a module for flooding, as 20 topology data, a set of an intra-system port and an IP address allocated to the port, or a set of a port group among a plurality of groups into which the ports are divided, and an IP address allocated to the port group.

A fourth packet router in a label switching system 25 according to the present invention comprises a module for flooding, as topology data, a set of an intra-system port and an IP address allocated to the port, or a set of a port group

among a plurality of groups into which the ports are divided, and an IP address allocated to the port group by use of Opaque LSA of OSPF protocol.

A fifth packet router in a label switching system according 5 to the present invention comprises a module for explicitly specifying, when setting a label switched path on the basis of an explicit route specified, a port or a port group of an egress node, and a port or a port group of a relay node.

A sixth packet router in a label switching system according 10 to the present invention may further comprise a module for specifying a port or a port group of the egress node by setting an IP address corresponding to the port or the port group of the egress node in final ER-HOP-TLV in ER-TLVs in Label Request Message of CR-LDP, and a module for specifying a port or a port 15 group of the relay node by setting an IP address corresponding to the port or the port group of the relay node in intermediate ER-HOP-TLV in ER-TLVs in Label Request Message of the CR-LDP.

A seventh packet router in a label switching system according to the present invention may further comprise a module 20 for specifying the port or the port group of the egress node and the port or the port group of the relay node by adding an intra-system port number or an intra-system port group number in ER-HOP-TLV in ER-TLVs in Label Request Message of CR-LDP.

An eighth packet router in a label switching system according to the present invention may further comprise a module 25 for explicating a port through which data should pass per system and specifying a port or a port group of the egress node by use

of resource class TLV with ER-TLV in Label Request Message of CR-LDP being used as ER-HOP-TLV.

A ninth packet router in a label switching system according to the present invention may further comprise a module for specifying a port or a port group of the egress node by setting an IP address corresponding to the port or the port group of the egress node in final Subject-object in Explicit Route Objects in a path message of RSVP protocol extended for setting a label switched path in MPLS protocol, and a module for specifying a port or port group of the relay node by setting an IP address corresponding to the port or the port group of the relay node in intermediate Subject-object in Explicit Route Objects in the path message of the RSVP protocol.

A tenth packet router in a label switching system according to the present invention may further comprise a module for specifying a port or a port group of the egress node and a port or a port group of the relay node by adding an intra-system port number or an intra-system port group number in Subject-object in Explicit Route Objects in the path message of RSVP protocol extended for setting the label switched path in MPLS protocol.

An eleventh packet router in a label switching system according to the present invention comprises a module for specifying an MPLS explicit route by adding, to an IP/MPLS forwarding function of one specified egress-and-ingress port group, a communication function with the IP-over-MPLS (IP/MPLS) forwarding function of an intra-system other port group, and a forwarding function to the intra-system other port group.

According to the present invention, it is feasible to actualize MPLS on the packet router taking the architecture of mounting an IP/MPLS forwarder in an adapter at an egress for packets in terms of a mounting space and a mounting cost. As 5 a result, MPLS utilizing the existing system architecture can be easily mounted.

Further, according to the present invention, when setting an explicit LSP, it is possible to attain routing elaborate enough to specify the ports or the port groups of the relay node and 10 of the egress node. As a result, there is yielded a effect of expanding a range of utilizing an application making use of MPLS such as traffic engineering etc.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

15 These objects and advantages of the present invention will become more apparent and more readily appreciated from the following detailed description of the presently preferred exemplary embodiments, taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings of which:

20 FIG. 1 is a block diagram showing an example of architecture of a conventional LSR;

FIG. 2 is a block diagram showing an example of architecture of the conventional LSR;

25 FIG. 3 is a block diagram showing an example of architecture of the conventional LSR;

FIG. 4 is a block diagram showing an example of architecture of the conventional LSR;

FIG. 5 is an explanatory view showing a conventional label distribution sequence;

FIG. 6 is an explanatory view showing the conventional label distribution sequence;

5 FIG. 7 is an explanatory view showing the conventional label distribution sequence;

FIG. 8 is a block diagram showing an architecture of an LSR in a first embodiment of the present invention;

10 FIG. 9 is a block diagram showing a detailed architecture of an LSR in the first embodiment;

FIG. 10 is an explanatory flowchart showing an operation of the LSR in the first embodiment;

FIG. 11 is a block diagram showing an architecture of the LSR in a second embodiment of the present invention;

15 FIG. 12 is a block diagram showing a detailed architecture of the LSR in the second embodiment;

FIG. 13 is an explanatory chart showing an example of definition of Opaque LSA of OSPF;

20 FIG. 14 is an explanatory chart showing an example of definitions of Label Request Message of CR-LDP, ER-TLV, ER-HOP-TLV and resource class TLV;

FIG. 15 is an explanatory chart showing an example of definitions of Label Request Message of CR-LDP, ER-TLV, ER-HOP-TLV and resource class TLV;

25 FIG. 16 is an explanatory chart showing an example of additional definition of ER-HOP-TLV;

FIG. 17 is an explanatory chart showing an example of

definitions of Path Message of RSVP Extension, and Explicit-Route Object and IPv4 Subobject;

FIG. 18 is an explanatory chart showing an example of additional definition of Subobject of Explicit-Route Object;

5 FIG. 19 is an explanatory flowchart showing an operation of the LSR in the second embodiment;

FIG. 20 is an explanatory flowchart showing the operation of the LSR in the second embodiment;

10 FIG. 21 is an explanatory flowchart showing the operation of the LSR in the second embodiment;

FIG. 22 is a block diagram showing an architecture of the LSR in a third embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 23 is a block diagram showing a detailed architecture of a forwarder in the LSR in the third embodiment;

15 FIG. 24 is a block diagram showing a detailed architecture of a forwarder (X) in the LSR in the third embodiment; and

FIG. 25 is a block diagram showing a detailed architecture of the forwarder(X) in the LSR in the third embodiment.

20 DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Embodiments of the present invention will hereinafter be described with reference to the accompanying drawings.

[First Embodiment]

25 A label switching router (LSR) classified as a packet router in a first embodiment of the present invention, will be explained referring to FIGS. 8, 9 and 10 in combination. FIGS. 8 and 9 show an architecture of the LSR. FIG. 10 shows a processing

flowchart.

A label switching router (LSR) 10 in the first embodiment adopts an architecture of logically mounting a plurality of LSRs in a system. The independent LSR is logically defined within the LSR 10, and each adapter within the system is recognized as an independent LSR by other LSRs.

As shown in FIG. 8, an architecture of the LSR 10 is that adapters 1 and 2 are connected to an MPLS network (ATM), and adapters 3 and 4 are connected to a non-MPLS network (Ethernet). With respect to this LSR 10, LSR 1, LSR 2, LSR 3 and LSR 4 are defined as logical LSRs, and the respective LSRs are connected in full-mesh, corresponding to the adapters 1, 2, 3 and 4.

Based on this architecture, communications (based on a routing protocol, and a label distribution protocol) with other LSRs are performed. At this time, the LSR 1, LSR 2 are not connected to the non-MPLS network, and it may therefore be sufficient that the LSR 1 and LSR 2 each incorporate a function as a relay node. The LSR 1 and LSR 2 have neither a necessity of terminating the LSP nor a necessity of incorporating an IP/MPLS forwarding function, accordingly.

Other LSRs are thereby capable of recognizing the LSR 10 as the independent LSR 1, LSR 2, LSR 3, LSR 4, and, when setting the LSP for transferring packets which are to be forwarded to a network connected to the LSR 3. The LSP can be set with the LSR 3 serving as an egress node. Namely, the LSP can be terminated by the adapter 3.

To describe it in greater details, as shown in FIG. 9, the logical LSRs 1, 2, 3 and 4 are defined corresponding to the adapters, and further a component for managing each of the logical LSRs is defined.

5 With these definitions, the respective logical LSRs 1, 2, 3 and 4 are capable of independently operating with respect to an outside system. Inside the system, a logical LSR management module 11 manages and integrates the logical LSRs 1, 2, 3 and 4, whereby a function as one single LSR can be attained.

10 Traffic engineering is classified as one of most useful applications of the MPLS, and aims at optimizing an activity ratio of network resources and optimizing a forwarding performance of the traffic. A traffic engineering processing module 12, based on a database managed by a topology data 15 management module 13, calculates an optimal set route of the LSP, detects triggers for adding, changing and deleting the route, and determines a flow of allocating to the set LSP.

Further, the traffic engineering processing module 12, based on the results given above, indicates a label switching 20 processing module 14 to set, add, change and delete the LSP, and allocate and change the flow to the LSP. Moreover, the traffic engineering processing module 12 receives a result of processing and a report on the LSP set in response to a request made by other LSR from the label switching processing module 25 14, and reflects this result in the database of the topology data management module 13. A part of the whole of the flow allocating process to the LSP may be executed by an MPLS forwarder

on the adapter.

The label switching processing module 14, in accordance with an indication given from the traffic engineering processing module 12, requests the logical LSRs 1, 2, 3 and 4 to set, add, 5 change and delete the LSP via the logical LSR management module 11. Further, the label switching processing module 14 receives other LSR's requests for setting, adding, changing and deleting the LSP from the LSR management module 11 via the logical LSRs 1, 2, 3 and 4, and requests the logical LSRs 1, 2, 3 and 4 to 10 execute these processes via the logical LSR management module 11.

When executing those processes, labels are caught and released by communications with a label management module 15, and at the same time a switch driver 16 is requested to execute 15 switching from an input label to an output label. Furthermore, an MPLS forwarding table is updated by communications with an MPLS forwarding table management module 17, and a part of copies of the MPLS forwarding table to the logical LSRs 1, 2, 3 and 4 via the logical LSR management module 11.

20 The logical LSR management module 11 controls the communications with the logical LSRs 1, 2, 3 and 4, and executes mutual translations between a logical architecture and a physical architecture with respect to the communications between the label switching processing module 14 and the logical LSRs 1, 2, 3 and 25 4. To be more specific, the logical LSR management module 1 maps a physical-architecture-based request given from the label switching processing module 14 to the logical architecture, and

notifies the logical LSRs 1, 2, 3, 4 of this mapping. Reversely, the logical LSR management module 11 maps logical-architecture-based requests given from the logical LSRs 1, 2, 3, 4 to the physical architecture, and notifies the label 5 switching processing module 14 of this mapping.

The label management module 15, the topology data management module 13, the IP routing table management module 18 and the MPLS forwarding table management table 17, manage the respective databases thereof, and provide functions of 10 searching and updating these databases.

The switch driver 16 controls an ATM switch Fabric (core switch), and sets and deletes the LSP. An adapter #n driver 19 provides the logical LSRs 1, 2, 3 and 4 with an adapter control function.

15 The label switching processing modules 20 in the logical LSRs 3 and 4 manage the whole of the logical LSRs 1, 2, 3, 4, and execute a virtual label switching process in response to indications given from the logical LSRs 1, 2, 3 and 4. That is, the label switching processing module 14 and the logical 20 LSR management module 11 carry out operations of managing the intra-system LSPs, allocating the labels, performing switch control, and updating the IP routing table and the MPLS forwarding table, and controls a protocol process with outside LSRs.

IP routing protocol processing modules 21 in the logical 25 LSRs 3 and 4, execute the processes of protocols such as OSPF, RIP2, BGP4 etc. A topology data flooding processing module 22 executes a protocol process relative to a flood of the topology

data for the traffic engineering independently of the topology process pertaining to the IP routing. Mounting thereof may, however, also be what is integrated as a protocol into which the routing protocol of the IP routing protocol processing module 5 21 is extended.

The label distribution protocol processing modules 23 in the logical LSRs 3 and 4 execute a label distribution protocol such as LDP, CR-LDP, RSVP Extension etc. A forwarder control module 24 controls a forwarder mounted into the adapter. That 10 is, the forwarder control module 24 initializes and updates an IP forwarding table and an MPLS forwarding table that are possessed by the forwarder.

Further, the label switching processing modules 20, the IP routing protocol processing modules 21, the topology data 15 flooding processing modules 22, the label distribution protocol processing modules 23 and the forwarder control modules 24 in the logical LSRs 1 and 2, are the same functions as those modules in the logical LSRs 3 and 4. Note that the logical LSRs 1 and 2 do not terminate the MPLS/IP, and hence the forwarder control 20 modules 24 have no necessity of being operated.

With the above architecture (a software architecture may also be taken) adopted, as a result, an explicit route with a specified egress adapter of the egress node can be set.

[Second Embodiment]

25 The label switch router (LSR) serving as a packet router in a second embodiment of the present invention will be described with reference to FIGS. 11, 12, 13 through 18, 19, 20 and 21

in combination. FIGS. 11 and 12 show an architecture of the label switch router (LSR). FIGS. 13 through 18 show examples of a variety of definitions of the protocols for the traffic engineering. FIGS. 19, 20 and 21 are flowcharts showing the 5 processes.

A label switching router (LSR) 30 in the second embodiment takes such an architecture that the intra-system adapters can be specified.

As shown in FIG. 11, the LSR 30 has a database stored with 10 various items of topology data of the network. The LSR 30 incorporates a function of flooding the topology data on the basis of the database, and a function of updating the database on the basis of the flooded topology data. Further, the LSR 30 has a label distributing function (LSO setting function) by 15 which an explicit route is specified based on the database.

Further, the LSR 30 incorporates a function (1) of rearranging ports and an aggregation of the ports into groups and thus managing the ports, a function (2) of flooding the port and/or a group number of the port to an address of a connection 20 destination network, a function (3) of structuring the flooding data including the above item (2) into a database, a function (4) of determining, based on the database in the item (3), an explicit route inclusive of the port or the port group in addition to nodes through which the packets pass, and a function (5) of 25 distributing the labels by giving the explicit route determined in the item (3).

The topology data sub-itemized down to the port groups

or the ports of the relay node and the egress node can be managed at an ingress node, and it is feasible to set not only a port group of the egress node or an LSP with the specified port but also a port group or a port of the relay node through which the

5 packets pass.

To explain it in full depth, as shown in FIG. 12, in an LSR 30, management functions corresponding to the respective ports and the port group (corresponding to the adapters) of other system and the self-system, are added to the components indicated

10 by hatching. In this LSR 30, a port management is carried out in the way of allocating ports 1, 2 to a port group 1, ports 3, 4 to a port group 2, ports 5, 6 to a port group 3, and ports 7, 8 to a port group 4.

Moreover, what is added to the LSR 30 is a function of flooding a port or/and a group number of the port to an address of a connection destination network. At the present, the function of flooding the topology data is supported by the routing protocol such as OSPF etc. There is further made a proposal of adding a function to OSPF and flooding the topology data for 20 the traffic engineering (independently of the topology data for the IP routing) (which involves the use of Opaque LSA (Link State Advertisement) of OSPF).

This example is illustrated in FIG. 13. This type of LSA is newly defined and then flooded, whereby each system receives 25 LSA from other system and, as a result, the topology data for the traffic engineering can be obtained. A link and an interface in FIG. 13 correspond to the ports.

Basically, this is used, however, this concept is further extended, and the port group is added to Sub-TVLV defined in FIG. 13. To give an example of the definition, sub-TVLV type: 7, length (octets) : 1, value (octet) : 4, name: port group number.

5 Further, a port group number is allocated to a resource class TLV. Thus, the port group number is defined, whereby the port group number can be flooded. This function is incorporated into a topology data flooding processing module 31.

A function of converting the flooding data into a database 10 is added to the LSR 30. In addition to the topology data for the traffic engineering, which are flooded with opaque LSA of OSPF shown in FIG. 13, the port group numbers flooded by the topology data flooding processing module 31 are also converted into a database. This function is incorporated into the 15 topology data management module 32 and the traffic engineering processing module 33.

In the LSR 30, a function of determining the explicit route inclusive of the port or the port group is added. Based on the databases of the topology data management module 32 and of the 20 traffic engineering processing module 33, the explicit route of the LSP from the ingress node to the output port of the egress node, is determined by a local policy or a managerial selection. This function is incorporated into the traffic engineering processing module 33.

25 Further, in the LSR 30, the following function of distributing the labels by explicating the port or the port group, is added.

(1) ER HOP TLV in Label Request Message of CR-LDP shown in FIGS. 14 and 15 specifies a node (system) through which essentially the LSP passes. This is extensively defined, and final ER HOP TLV in ER TLVs shall indicate an output port group 5 of the egress node.

The ingress node, based on the determination of the explicit route, specifies an IP address (corresponding to any one of ports in the port group) corresponding to the output port group of the egress node in final ER HOP TLV in ER TLVs in the 10 Label Request Message.

The egress node specifies an output port in accordance with the IP address indicated in final ER HOP TLV in ER TLVs in Label Request Message, and may further specify a port group to which that port belongs.

15 (2) IPv4 Subobject in EXPLICIT_ROUTE object in Path Message of RSVP Extension shown in FIG. 17, specifies a node (system) through which essentially the LSP passes. This is extensively defined, and final IPv4 Subobject in EXPLICIT_ROUTE object shall indicate an output port group of an egress node. This is RSVP 20 Extension version in the item (1).

(3) As shown in FIG. 16, the port and the port group (a link and a link group) are additionally defined in ER HOP type of ER HOP TLV, and further a port and port group (link and link group) TLV is additionally defined.

25 The ingress node, based on the determination of the explicit route, specifies an output port group number or/and port number of the egress node in final ER HOP TLV in ER TLVs

in Label Request Message by use of the port and port group (link and link group) TLV.

Further, as the necessity arises, the egress node may specify a port and a port group passing through the relay node 5 in intermediate ER HOP TLV by use of the port and port group (link and link group) TLV.

(4) As shown in FIG. 18, the port and the port group (the link and the link group) are additionally defined in Subobject type of EXPLICIT_ROUTE object, and further port and port group 10 group (link and link group) Subobject is additionally defined. This is RSVP Extension version in the item (3).

(5) As shown in FIG. 16, a resource class is additionally defined in ER Hop type of ER HOP TLV. Further, resource class TLV shown in FIG. 15 is used as ER HOP TLV.

15 The ingress node, based on the determination of the explicit route, uses resource class TLV in final ER HOP TLV in ER TLVs in Label Request Message, and specifies an output port group number of the egress node.

The egress node may specify an output port group number 20 from the output port group number indicated by final ER HOP TLV in ER TLVs in Label Request Message.

Further, as the necessity arises, resource class TLV is used in intermediate ER HOP TLV, whereby a port group passing through a relay node can be specified.

25 The topology data sub-itemized down to the port groups or the ports of the relay node and the egress node can be managed at the ingress node, and it is feasible to set an LSP with the

specified port group or port of the egress node, and to specify the port group or port of the relay node through which the packets pass.

Note that the same components of the LSR 30 shown in FIG. 5 12 as those of the LSR 10 shown in FIG. 9 are marked with the same reference numerals.

[Third Embodiment]

The label switching router (LSR) classified as the packet router in a third embodiment of the present invention, will be 10 explained referring to FIGS. 22, 23, 24, and 25 in combination.

A label switching router (LSR) 40 in the third embodiment takes such an architecture that an egress adapter within the system is capable of executing internal shuttling. As shown in FIG. 22, the LSR40 has, in the system architecture illustrated 15 in FIG. 2, an addition of the following functions to one specified egress adapter. That is, these functions are a function (1) of setting a connection with other egress adapter via an ATM switch, a function (2) conducting IP forwarding to other adapters in addition to the intra-adapter ports (which uses the connection given in the item (1), and a function (3) of adding routing data 20 to other adapters to a routing table that is referred in the item (2).

With these functions added, the setting of the explicit LSP can be actualized neither by changing a basic framework (in 25 terms of mounting) of the system architecture shown in FIG. 2 nor by the ingress node specifying (managing) the adapters of the egress node.

(Example of Architecture of IP/MPLS Forwarder)

FIG. 23 shows an example of architecture of an IP/MPLS forwarder in the LSR 40 illustrated in FIG. 22. In an IP/MPLS forwarder 50, a driver/receiver 51 transmits and receives the data to and from an external interface (ATM/Ether). The received data is stored in a buffer 52, the driver/receiver 51 transfers the control, wherein an address and a size of the received data are set as output data. When in a data transmitting process, the driver/receiver 51 transmits the data stored in the buffer 52, wherein the address and the size thereof are set as input data.

The buffer 52 is stored with the received data and also edited data (transmitted data). The IP routing table 53 is a copy of a part of the IP routing table possessed by the LSR 40 body, and contains ports 1 ~ n as output destination ports. An MPLS forwarding table (Label Information Base) 54 is a copy of a part of the MPLS forwarding table possessed by the LSR 40 body, and contains VCs 1 ~ n as output destination ports.

A table updating processing module 55 executes a process of updating the IP routing table 53 and the MPLS forwarding table 54 in response to an indication given from an LSR body control unit. A cellulating module (packet deassembling module) 56 cellulates the packets which have already been edited, and indicates the driver 58 to transmit the cells by specifying a VC. A decellulating module (packet assembling module) 57 decellulates the received cells from the receiver 58, and assembles them into packets on the buffer 52.

A packet editing module 59 executes a process of editing an IP header and an MPLS header of the packet. An IP forwarding processing module 60 determines a packet transmitting destination with reference to the IP routing table 53, and 5 indicates the packet editing module 61 to edit the IP header. An MPLS forwarding processing module 62 determines a packet transmitting destination with reference to the MPLS forwarding table 54, and indicates the packet editing module 61 to edit an MPLS header.

10 (First Example of Architecture of IP/MPLS Forwarder (X))

FIG. 24 shows a first example of architecture of the IP/MPLS forwarder (X) in the LSR 40 illustrated in FIG. 22. An IP/MPLS forwarder (X) 70 actualizes the setting of the explicit LSP neither by changing the basic framework (in terms of mounting) 15 of the system architecture shown in FIG. 2 nor by the ingress node specifying (managing) the adapters of the egress node.

Functions of this IP/MPLS forwarder (X) 70, which are to be added to the architecture of the IP/MPLS forwarder 50 shown in FIG. 23, will be explained. An IP routing table 71 is a copy 20 of a part of the IP routing table possessed by the LSR body, and contains ports 1 ~ n as output destination ports and VCs 1 ~ n. A packet editing module 72 executes a process of editing the IP header and the MPLS header. Responding to indications given from the IP forwarding processing module 73, there are, 25 however, a case where the packet editing module 72 indicates the driver 51 to transmit the edited packets to the port n, and a case where the packet editing module 72 indicates a conflict

control module 74 to transmit the edited packets to the virtual channel (VC) n.

An IP forwarding processing module 73 determines a packet transmitting destination with reference to the IP routing table 5 71, and indicates the packet editing module 72 to edit the IP header. On this occasion, the IP forwarding processing module 72 explicates whether the transmitting destination is the port nor the VC n. The conflict control module 74 controls a conflict between shuttle packets inputted from the port n and from the 10 VC n, and executes scheduling of the input packets to the cellululating module 56.

(Second Example of Architecture of IP/MPLS Forwarder (X))

FIG. 25 shows a second example of architecture of the IP/MPLS forwarder (X) in the LSR 40 illustrated in FIG. 22. 15 Functions of this IP/MPLS forwarder (X) 80, which are to be added to the architecture of the IP/MPLS forwarder 50 shown in FIG. 23, will be explained.

Drivers/receivers 81, 82 transmit and receive the data to and from the outside interface (ATM/Ether). The received 20 data are stored in a buffer 83. The drivers/receivers 81, 82 transfer the control, wherein addresses and sizes of the received data are set as output data. When in the data transmitting process, the drivers/receivers 81, 82 transmit the data stored in the buffer 83, wherein the addresses and the sizes thereof 25 are set as input data. In this architecture, however, the drivers/receivers 81, 82 are operated only for data shuttling, and do not therefore receive the data from outside.

Although only a few embodiments of the present invention have been described in detail above, those skilled in the art will readily appreciate that many modifications are possible in the preferred embodiments without departing from the novel 5 teachings and advantages of the present invention. Accordingly, all such modifications are intended to be included within the scope of the present invention as defined by the following claims.

20 15 10 5 0

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. An explicit routing method in a label switching system, comprising:

a step of logically dividing a label switching router (LSR)

5 into a plurality of LSRs each having a label switching function; and

a step of specifying, when setting a label switched path on the basis of an explicit route specified, a port or a port group of an egress node.

10

2. An explicit routing method in a label switching system, comprising:

a step of flooding, as topology data, a set of an

intra-system port and an IP address allocated to the port, or
15 a set of a port group among a plurality of groups into which
the ports are divided, and an IP address allocated to the port
group; and

a step of managing the topology data flooded from other
system and, when setting a label switched path on the basis of
20 an explicit route specified, explicitly specifying a port or
a port group of an egress node, and a port or a port group of
a relay node on the basis of the received topology data.

3. An explicit routing method in a label switching system,
25 comprising:

a step of flooding, as topology data, a set of an
intra-system port and an IP address allocated to the port, or

a set of a port group among a plurality of groups into which the ports are divided, and an IP address allocated to the port group.

5 4. An explicit routing method in a label switching system, comprising:

 a step of flooding, as topology data, a set of an intra-system port and an IP address allocated to the port, or a set of a port group among a plurality of groups into which 10 the ports are divided, and an IP address allocated to the port group by use of Opaque LSA of OSPF protocol.

 5. An explicit routing method in a label switching system, comprising:

15 a step of explicitly specifying, when setting a label switched path on the basis of an explicit route specified, a port or a port group of an egress node, and a port or a port group of a relay node.

20 6. An explicit routing method in a label switching system according to claim 5, further comprising:

 a step of specifying a port or a port group of the egress node by setting an IP address corresponding to the port or the port group of the egress node in final ER-HOP-TLV in ER-TLVs 25 in Label Request Message of CR-LDP; and

 a step of specifying a port or a port group of the relay node by setting an IP address corresponding to the port or the

port group of the relay node in intermediate ER-HOP-TLV in ER-TLVs in Label Request Message of the CR-LDP.

7. An explicit routing method in a label switching system
5 according to claim 5, further comprising:

a step of specifying the port or the port group of the egress node and the port or the port group of the relay node by adding an intra-system port number or an intra-system port group number in ER-HOP-TLV in ER-TLVs in Label Request Message

10 of CR-LDP.

8. An explicit routing method in a label switching system according to claim 5, further comprising:

a step of explicating a port through which data should pass per system and specifying a port or a port group of the egress node by use of resource class TLV with ER-TLV in Label Request Message of CR-LDP being used as ER-HOP-TLV.

9. An explicit routing method in a label switching system
20 according to claim 5, further comprising:

a step of specifying a port or a port group of the egress node by setting an IP address corresponding to the port or the port group of the egress node in final Subject-object in Explicit Route Objects in a path message of RSVP protocol extended for
25 setting a label switched path in MPLS protocol; and

a step of specifying a port or port group of the relay node by setting an IP address corresponding to the port or the

port group of the relay node in intermediate Subject-object in Explicit Route Objects in the path message of the RSVP protocol.

10. An explicit routing method in a label switching system
5 according to claim 5, further comprising:

a step of specifying a port or a port group of the egress node and a port or a port group of the relay node by adding an intra-system port number or an intra-system port group number in Subject-object in Explicit Route Objects in the path message
10 of RSVP protocol extended for setting the label switched path in MPLS protocol.

11. An explicit routing method in a label switching system,
comprising:

15 a step of specifying an MPLS explicit route by adding, to an IP-over-MPLS (IP/MPLS) forwarding function of one specified egress-and-ingress port group, a communication function with the IP/MPLS forwarding function of an intra-system other port group, and a forwarding function to the intra-system other port
20 group.

12. A packet router in a label switching system,
comprising:

a logical router configuring module for logically dividing
25 a label switching router (LSR) into a plurality of LSRs each having a label switching function; and
a module for specifying, when setting a label switched

path on the basis of an explicit route specified, a port or a port group of an egress node.

13. A packet router in a label switching system,
5 comprising:

a module for flooding, as topology data, a set of an intra-system port and an IP address allocated to the port, or a set of a port group among a plurality of groups into which the ports are divided, and an IP address allocated to the port

10 group; and

a module for managing the topology data flooded from other system and, when setting a label switched path on the basis of an explicit route specified, explicitly specifying a port or a port group of an egress node, and a port or a port group of 15 a relay node on the basis of the received topology data.

14. A packet router in a label switching system,
comprising:

a module for flooding, as topology data, a set of an intra-system port and an IP address allocated to the port, or a set of a port group among a plurality of groups into which the ports are divided, and an IP address allocated to the port group.

25 15. A packet router in a label switching system,
comprising:

a module for flooding, as topology data, a set of an

RECORDED DOCUMENT

intra-system port and an IP address allocated to the port, or a set of a port group among a plurality of groups into which the ports are divided, and an IP address allocated to the port group by use of Opaque LSA of OSPF protocol.

5

16. A packet router in a label switching system, comprising:

a module for explicitly specifying, when setting a label switched path on the basis of an explicit route specified, a port or a port group of an egress node, and a port or a port group of a relay node.

17. A packet router in a label switching system according to claim 16, further comprising:

15 a module for specifying a port or a port group of the egress node by setting an IP address corresponding to the port or the port group of the egress node in final ER-HOP-TLV in ER-TLVs in Label Request Message of CR-LDP; and

20 a module for specifying a port or a port group of the relay node by setting an IP address corresponding to the port or the port group of the relay node in intermediate ER-HOP-TLV in ER-TLVs in Label Request Message of the CR-LDP.

18. A packet router in a label switching system according to claim 16, further comprising:

a module for specifying the port or the port group of the egress node and the port or the port group of the relay node

SEARCHED
SEARCHED
SEARCHED
SEARCHED
SEARCHED

by adding an intra-system port number or an intra-system port group number in ER-HOP-TLV in ER-TLVs in Label Request Message of CR-LDP.

5 19. A packet router in a label switching system according to claim 16, further comprising:

 a module for explicating a port through which data should pass per system and specifying a port or a port group of the egress node by use of resource class TLV with ER-TLV in Label Request Message of CR-LDP being used as ER-HOP-TLV.

10 20. A packet router in a label switching system according to claim 16, further comprising:

 a module for specifying a port or a port group of the egress node by setting an IP address corresponding to the port or the port group of the egress node in final Subject-object in Explicit Route Objects in a path message of RSVP protocol extended for setting a label switched path in MPLS protocol; and

 a module for specifying a port or port group of the relay node by setting an IP address corresponding to the port or the port group of the relay node in intermediate Subject-object in Explicit Route Objects in the path message of the RSVP protocol.

25 21. A packet router in a label switching system according to claim 16, further comprising:

 a module for specifying a port or a port group of the egress node and a port or a port group of the relay node by adding an

intra-system port number or an intra-system port group number in Subject-object in Explicit Route Objects in the path message of RSVP protocol extended for setting the label switched path in MPLS protocol.

5

22. A packet router in a label switching system, comprising:

a module for specifying an MPLS explicit route by adding, to an IP/MPLS forwarding function of one specified egress-and-ingress port group, a communication function with the IP-over-MPLS (IP/MPLS) forwarding function of an intra-system other port group, and a forwarding function to the intra-system other port group.

PROVISIONAL FILING NUMBER: 10000000000000000000000000000000

ABSTRACT

A packet router in a label switching system includes a logical router configuring module for logically dividing a label switching router (LSR) into a plurality of LSRs each having a 5 label switching function, and a module for specifying, when setting a label switched path on the basis of an explicit route specified, a port or a port group of an egress node. With this construction, a label switching architecture in an ATM network for actualizing MPLS (label switching) can be mapped to an 10 ATM-Switch base system architecture, and a granularity of function (Constraint Base Routing) of specifying a variety of routes provided by MPLS can be attained.

FIG.1




FIG.2




FIG.3




FIG.4




FIG.5




FIG.6




FIG.7




FIG.8




FIG.9




FIG.10




FIG.11




FIG.12




FIG.13

EXAMPLE OF DEFINITION OF OPAQUE LSA OF OSPF FOR TRAFFIC ENGINEERING

The diagram illustrates the structure of an OSPF Link State Advertisement (LSA). The LSA is 168 bytes long, divided into several fields:

- LINK-STATE AGE**: 1 byte
- OPTION**: 1 byte
- TYPE:10**: 1 byte
- LSA ID FOR TE**: 1 byte
- LSA NUMBER**: 1 byte
- ADVERTISEMENT ORIGINATING ROUTER**: 1 byte
- LINK-STATE SEQUENCE NUMBER**: 1 byte
- LINK-STATE CHECK SUM**: 1 byte
- LENGTH**: 1 byte
- VARIABLE**: 1 byte
- VARIABLE**: 1 byte

Below the structure, there are three horizontal arrows pointing to the right, each labeled with a tilde (~), indicating the continuation of the LSA data.

1:ROUTER ADDRESS TVALV (TYPE-VARIABLE LENGTH-VALUE)

4-OCTET IP ADDRESS OF ROUTER FOR GENERATING LSA

2:NEIGHBOR TVLV

DESCRIBE ADJACENT SERIES IN TRAFFIC ENGINEERING TOPOLOGY

LINK TYPE, LINK ID, METRIC, SUB-TVLVs AND SUB-TVLVs OF SIZE 0 OR LARGER INCLUSIVE. SUB-TVLVs ARE USED FOR SUPPLYING ADDED DATA.

LINK TYPE 1: OCTETS 1: P2P 2: MULTI-ACCESS

LINK TYPE	OCTETS
LINK ID	OCTETS

ETRIB 1B 4 OCTETS
METRIC 4 OCTETS

LENGTH OF SUB-TLV 2 OCTETS

SUB-TRIVALS ARE DEFINED BY OCTETS FOLLOWING SUB-TRIVIALS ARE DEFINED

SUB-TLV V TYPE | LENGTH (OCTET) | VALUE (OCTET) | NAME

INDEX	TYPE	LENGTH (OCTET)	VALUE (OCTET)	NAME
1		1	4	INTERFACE ADDRESS
2		1	4	ADJACENT ADDRESS
3		1	4	MAXIMUM LINK BAND
4		1	2	MAXIMUM POSSIBLE-OF-ALLOCATION LINK BAND(%)
5		1	32	PRESENT RESERVE BAND
6		1	4	RESOURCE CLASS (COLOR MANAGEMENT GROUP)

* THIS IS 4-OCTET BIT MASK ALLOCATED BY NETWORK MANAGER AND EACH BIT CORRESPONDS TO ONE MANAGEMENT GROUP ALLOCATED TO INTERFACE

FIG.14






FIG.15

LABEL REQUEST MESSAGE OF CR-LDP, ER TLV, ER HOP TLV, AND RESOURCE CLASS TLV

3. STRUCTURE OF EXPLICIT ROUTE HOP TLV(ER-HOP TLV)



4. STRUCTURE OF IPv4 PREFIX



5. STRUCTURE OF RESOURCE CLASS(COLOR) TLV




FIG. 16

EXAMPLE OF ADDITIONAL DEFINITION OF ER/HOP TLV

1. EXAMPLE OF STRUCTURE OF EXPLICIT ROUTE HOP TLV (ER-HOP TLV)

0	1	2	3
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	1
+-----+-----+-----+-----+			
10101 ER-HOP TYPE LENGTH			
+-----+-----+-----+-----+			
IL CONTENT//			
+-----+-----+-----+-----+			
ER-HOP TYPE			

VALUE	TYPE
0x801	IPV4 PREFIX
0x802	IPV6 PREFIX
0x803	SELF-SUPPORT
0x804	LSPID
0x805	PORT AND PORT
0x806	RESOURCE CLA

← EXAMPLE OF ADDITION

2. EXAMPLE OF STRUCTURE OF PORT AND PORT GROUP (LINK AND LINK GROUP) ← EXAMPLE OF ADDITION

Diagram illustrating the bit fields of a 16-bit register:

- OUTPUT PORT GROUP NUMBER** (bits 15-8): Value 0x801
- LENGTH** (bit 7): Value 1
- OUTPUT PORT NUMBER** (bits 6-0): Value 0101
- OUTPUT PORT NUMBER** (bits 15-10): Value 01

OUTPUT PORT GROUP NUMBER : THIS NUMBER INDICATES PORT GROUP (LINK GROUP OR INTERFACE GROUP) IN DOWNSTREAM DIRECTION OF LSP PASSING THROUGH SYSTEM

ALL '1' INDICATES WILD CARD. THIS CARD IS USED FOR
SPECIFYING ONLY OUTPUT PORT

OUTPUT PORT NUMBER : THIS NUMBER INDICATES PORT (LINK OR INTERFACE) IN DOWNSTREAM DIRECTION OF LSP PASSING THROUGH SYSTEM

ALL '1' INDICATES WILD CARD. THIS IS USED FOR SPECIFYING ONLY OUTPUT PORT GROUP.


FIG.17

PATH MESSAGE OF RSVP EXTENSION, EXPLICIT_ROUTE OBJECT AND IPv4 SUBOBJECT

1. STRUCTURE OF PATH MESSAGE


```
<PATH MESSAGE> ::= <COMMON HEADER> [<INTEGRITY>]  
<SESSION> <RSVP_HOP>  
<TIME_VALUES>  
[<EXPLICIT_ROUTE>]  
<LABEL_REQUEST>  
[<SESSION_ATTRIBUTE>]  
[<POLICY_DATA> ...]  
[<SENDER_DESCRIPTOR>]  
  
<SENDER_DESCRIPTOR> ::= <SENDER_TEMPLATE> [<SENDER_TSPEC>]  
[<ADSPEC>]  
[<RECORD_ROUTE>]
```

2. STRUCTURE OF EXPLICIT ROUTE OBJECT



EXPLICIT ROUTE OBJECT IS A SERIES OF VARIABLE LENGTH DATA ITEMS CALLED SUBOBJECTS

3. SUBOBJECTS



TYPE: THIS INDICATES TYPE OF CONTENT OF SUBOBJECT. VALUES DEFINED AT PRESENT ARE AS FOLLOWS

- 0 RESERVE
- 1 IPv4 PREFIX
- 2 IPv6 PREFIX
- 32 SELF-SUPPORTED SYSTEM NUMBER
- 64 TERMINATING OF MPLS LABEL SWITCHED PATH

4. IPv4 PREFIX




FIG. 18

EXAMPLE OF ADDITIONAL DEFINITION OF SUBOBJECT OF EXPLICIT ROUTE OBJECT

1. SUBOBJECTS

0

1

//-----				
L	TYPE	LENGTH	(CONTENT OF SUBOBJECT)	-----
-----//-----				

TYPE: THIS INDICATES TYPE OF CONTENT OF SUBOBJECT. VALUES DEFINED AT PRESENT
ARE AS FOLLOWS

0 RESERVE
1 IPv4 PREFIX
2 IPv6 PREFIX
32 SELF-SUPPORTED SYSTEM NUMBER
64 TERMINATING OF MPLS LABEL SWITCHED PATH
127 PORT AND PORT GROUP (LINK AND LINK GROUP) ←ADDITION

2. EXAMPLE OF CONFIGURATION OF PORT AND PORT GROUP (LINK AND LINK GROUP) ← ADDITION

OUTPUT PORT GROUP NUMBER : THIS NUMBER INDICATES PORT GROUP (LINK GROUP OR INTERFACE GROUP) IN DOWNSTREAM DIRECTION OF LSP PASSING THROUGH SYSTEM

ALL '1' INDICATES WILD CARD. THIS IS USED FOR
SPECIFYING ONLY OUTPUT PORT

OUTPUT PORT NUMBER : THIS NUMBER INDICATES PORT (LINK OR INTERFACE) IN DOWNSTREAM DIRECTION OF LSP PASSING THROUGH SYSTEM
ALL '1' INDICATES WILD CARD. THIS IS USED FOR SPECIFYING ONLY OUTPUT PORT GROUP

FIG.19




FIG.20




FIG.21




FIG.22




FIG.23




FIG.24





FIG.25



:CONTROL

···· :REFERRING/EDITING OF DATA

---- :REFERRING/UPDATING OF TABLE

PTO/SB/106 (8-96)

Approved for use through 9/30/98. OMB 0651-0032
Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Declaration and Power of Attorney For Patent Application

特許出願宣言書及び委任状

Japanese Language Declaration

日本語宣言書

下記の氏名の発明者として、私は以下の通り宣言します。

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

私の住所、私書箱、国籍は下記の私の氏名の後に記載された通りです。

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated next to my name.

下記の名称の発明に関して請求範囲に記載され、特許出願している発明内容について、私が最初かつ唯一の発明者（下記の氏名が一つの場合）もしくは最初かつ共同発明者であると（下記の名称が複数の場合）信じています。

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

LABEL SWITCHING SYSTEM

上記発明の明細書（下記の欄でx印がついていない場合は、本書に添付）は、

the specification of which is attached hereto unless the following box is checked:

 月 日に提出され、米国出願番号または特許協定条約国際出願番号を とし、
(該当する場合) に訂正されました。 was filed on _____
as United States Application Number or
PCT International Application Number
_____ and was amended on
_____ (if applicable).

私は、特許請求範囲を含む上記訂正後の明細書を検討し、内容を理解していることをここに表明します。

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

私は、連邦規則法典第37編第1条56項に定義されるとおり、特許資格の有無について重要な情報を開示する義務があることを認めます。

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Japanese Language Declaration
(日本語宣言書)

私は、米国法典第35編119条(a)-(d)項又は365条(b)項に基づき下記の、米国以外の国の少なくとも一ヵ国を指定している特許協力条約365条(a)項に基づく国際出願、又は外国での特許出願もしくは発明者証の出願についての外国優先権をここに主張するとともに、優先権を主張している。本出願の前に出願された特許または発明者証の外国出願を以下に、枠内をマークすることで、示しています。

Prior Foreign Application(s)

外国での先行出願

2000-6160

(Number)
(番号)

Japan

(Country)
(国名)

11/01/2000

(Day/Month/Year Filed)
(出願年月日)

Priority Not Claimed

優先権主張なし

(Number)
(番号)(Country)
(国名)(Day/Month/Year Filed)
(出願年月日)

私は、第35編米国法典119条(e)項に基いて下記の米国特許出願規定に記載された権利をここに主張いたします。

(Application No.)
(出願番号)(Filing Date)
(出願日)(Application No.)
(出願番号)(Filing Date)
(出願日)

私は、下記の米国法典第35編120条に基いて下記の米国特許出願に記載された権利、又は米国を指定している特許協力条約365条(c)に基づく権利をここに主張します。また、本出願の各請求範囲の内容が米国法典第35編112条第1項又は特許協力条約で規定された方法で先行する米国特許出願に開示されていない限り、その先行米国出願書提出日以降で本出願書の日本国内または特許協力条約国提出日までの期間中に入手された、連邦規則法典第37編1条56項で定義された特許資格の有無に関する重要な情報について開示義務があることを認識しています。

(Application No.)
(出願番号)(Filing Date)
(出願日)(Status: Patented, Pending, Abandoned)
(現況: 特許許可済、係属中、放棄済)(Application No.)
(出願番号)(Filing Date)
(出願日)(Status: Patented, Pending, Abandoned)
(現況: 特許許可済、係属中、放棄済)

私は、私自身の知識に基づいて本宣言書中で私が行なう表明が真実であり、かつ私の入手した情報と私の信じるところに基づく表明が全て真実であると信じていること、さらに故意になされた虚偽の表明及びそれと同等の行為は米国法典第18編第1001条に基づき、罰金または拘禁、もしくはその両方により処罰されること、そしてそのような故意による虚偽の声明を行なえば、出願した、又は既に許可された特許の有効性が失われることを認識し、よってここに上記のごとく宣誓を致します。

I hereby claim foreign priority under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119 (a)-(d) or 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or 365(a) of any PCT International application which designated at least one country other than the United States, listed below and have also identified below, by checking the box, any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate, or PCT International application having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed.

Priority Not Claimed

優先権主張なし

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below.

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s), or 365(c) of any PCT International application designating the United States, listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT International application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT International filing date of application.

(Status: Patented, Pending, Abandoned)
(現況: 特許許可済、係属中、放棄済)(Status: Patented, Pending, Abandoned)
(現況: 特許許可済、係属中、放棄済)

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Japanese Language Declaration (日本語宣言書)

委任状： 私は下記の発明者として、本出願に関する一切の手続きを米特許商標局に対して遂行する弁理士または代理人として、下記の者を指名いたします。（弁護士、または代理人の氏名及び登録番号を明記のこと）

POWER OF ATTORNEY: As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith (list name and registration number)

書類送付先

Aaron B. KARAS, Reg. No. 18,923; Samson HELFGOTT, Reg. No. 23,072 and Leonard COOPER Reg. No. 27,625
Send Correspondence to:
HELGOTT & KARAS, P.C.
Empire State Building, 60th Floor
New York, New York 10118
United States of America

直接電話連絡先：（名前及び電話番号）

Direct Telephone Calls to: (name and telephone number)

Helgott & Karas, P.C.
(212) 643-5000

唯一または第一発明者名

Full name of sole or first inventor

Yasushi SASAGAWA

発明者の署名

日付

Inventor's signature

Date

Yasushi Sasagawa

October 10, 2000

住所

Residence

Kawasaki-shi, Japan

国籍

Citizenship

Japanese

私書箱

Post Office Address c/o FUJITSU LIMITED, 1-1,
Kamikodanaka 4-chome, Nakahara-ku,

Kawasaki-shi, Kanagawa 211-8588 Japan

第二共同発明者

Full name of second joint inventor, if any

第二共同発明者

日付

Second inventor's signature

Date

住所

Residence

国籍

Citizenship

私書箱

Post Office Address

（第三以降の共同発明者についても同様に記載し、署名をすること）

(Supply similar information and signature for third and subsequent joint inventors.)

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of: **Yasushi SASAGAWA**

Filed : **Concurrently herewith**

For : **LABEL SWITCHING SYSTEM**

Serial No. : **Concurrently herewith**

October 25, 2000


Assistant Commissioner of Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

SUB-POWER OF ATTORNEY

S I R:

I, Aaron B. Karas, Reg. No. 18,923 attorney of record herein, do hereby grant a sub-power of attorney to Linda S. Chan, Reg. No. 42,400, Harris A. Wolin, Reg. No. 39,432 and Brian S. Myers, Reg. No. 46,947 to act and sign in my behalf in the above-referenced application.

Respectfully submitted,



Aaron B. Karas
Reg. No 18,923

HELGOTT & KARAS, P.C.
60th FLOOR
EMPIRE STATE BUILDING
NEW YORK, NY 10118
DOCKET NO.: FUJY17.914
LHH:power

Filed Via Express Mail
Rec. No.: EL522395105US
On: October 25, 2000
By: Lydia Gonzalez
Any fee due as a result of this paper,
not covered by an enclosed check may
be charged on Deposit Acct. No. 08-
1634.